

From: llegere@timeshamrock.com
Sent: 3/16/2012 10:26:17 AM

To: "Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov>; "Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov>

CC:
Subject: Dimock results

Hi Roy and Terri,

I had a few follow-up questions relating to the statement regarding the first round of Dimock test results yesterday:

Is there any indication that the results released yesterday are representative of the 60 or so homes sampled? In particular, does the entire body of test results in Dimock indicate that the water poses no health risks?

Does the EPA plan to continue to release partial public summaries of the Dimock data as new batches of tests are returned to homeowners?

The Action Memo for Dimock outlines several contaminants detected in wells during past (non-EPA) sampling, including five -- barium, manganese, phenol, DEHP, glycol compounds -- that were not mentioned in the statement yesterday. Were these compounds detected in the first 11 wells sampled?

The Action Memo also describes that past tests "identify the existence of hazardous substances" in Dimock water supplies including and outside of those four homes provided with alternate sources of water. Did the EPA tests for the first 11 wells confirm or disprove the existence of hazardous substances in those 11 wells?

Thanks again for your help. I'm hoping to write about this for tomorrow.

Best,

Laura Legere

Staff Writer

Scranton Times-Tribune

570-348-9100 x 5184

llegere@timeshamrock.com